UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,624	04/19/2007	Shunji Suzuki	062926	1930
	7590 04/20/201 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
	TICUT AVENUE, NV	HARRIS, GARY D		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1785	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,624	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	GARY D. HARRIS	1785					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [-	-				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	ided below or appended.	n be emered and an e.	хріапацоп от				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
/Mark Ruthkosky/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785	/G. D. H./Gary Harris Examiner, Art Unit 1785	;					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11.

Applicant argues that Sagawa does not teach the thickness range. However, Sagawa discloses the thin film permanent magnets are isotropic by nature and can be formed into any desired shape or size. Regarding the heat treatment forming a texture, Sagawa discloses heat treatment and applicant is not claiming a texture. Applicant admits that the crystal grain size of Sagawa is within applicant's range (page 4 or remarks). Applicant is arguing that Sagawa is a single magnetic domain while applicant has a multi-magnetic domain. However, applicant is not claiming a multi-magnetic domain. Applicant argues that Sagawa does not consider thin films however, Sagawa clearly discloses a permanent magnet thin film (Col. 5, Line 39-45).